

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/038,440 01/03/2002 Cary Fitzgerald 2705-185 20575 7590 10/05/2005 EXAMINER MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. YANG, LINA 210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400 YANG, LINA			Com: Fitmormld					
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. YANG, LINA			Cary Fitzgeraid	i	2705-18	35	6957	
						EXAM	INER	
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400						YANG, LINA		
					ART UN	IT	PAPER NUMBER	
2665					2665			

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/038,440		FITZGERALD, CARY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Lina Yang	2665
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a rept d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. by be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u> .	January [;] January 2002.	
	is action;is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 January 2002 is/are	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.	ected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in App iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date nmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/038,440

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2, 6, 7, 11, 15, 16, 20, 24, 25, 29, 33 and 34 objected to because of the following informalities.

Please spell out "VAD" in claims 2, 6, 11, 15, 20, 24, 29 and 33; and "SID" in claims 7, 16, 25 and 34.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-36, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Posthuma(U. S. Patent No. 6,694,012 B1) in view of Dorenbosch (U. S. Patent Application No. 20020119795 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 10, 19 and 28, Posthuma teaches a device comprising:

a network interface for coupling to a network (element 70 in fig. 1, 70 has to have
a network interface to connect to the network through connection 71); and

a processor (element 74 in fig. 1) coupled with the network interface, in which the processor is adapted to (fig. 1 and col. 2 lines34-59; fig. 2 and corresponding description on col. 3 lines 20-49)

establish a connection (200);

place the connection on hold (202);

determine whether there is return speech from the connection that has been placed on hold (204); and

if so, withhold transmitting on-hold music/sound through the connection (214).

Posthuma differs from the claimed invention in that Posthuma does not specifically teach that the connection is a VoIP connection. However, the VoIP telephony is well to be used now. For example, Dorenbosch teaches voice conferencing using voice over IP (VoIP). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to include using voice over IP (VoIP), as taught by Dorenbosch in the assembly of Posthuma in order to facilitate the calls made over IP network, such as the convenient Internet.

Regarding claims 2, 11, 20 and 29, Posthuma further teaches that determining whether there is return speech from the connection that has been placed on hold, is performed by interpreting a VAD ON/OFF event (76 and/or 81 in fig. 1col. 1 lines 31-34 and col. 2 lines 51-52).

Application/Control Number: 10/038,440

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 3, 12, 21 and 30, Posthuma further teaches determining is performed by: monitoring the voice path for return packets (col. 2 lines 51-58); and analyzing to determine whether the return packets encode speech (col. 2 lines 51-58).

Regarding claims 4, 13, 22 and 31, Posthuma further teaches that analyzing is for speech energy that corresponds to speech sustained for a predetermined time minimum (300 and/or 302 in fig. 3; fig. 4; col.4 lines 10-33).

Regarding claims 5, 14, 23 and 32, Posthuma further teaches that the processor is further adapted to: silence-monitor to determine whether prior return speech has discontinued; and if so, transmit on-hold music/sound through the connection (col. 4 lines 25-33).

Regarding claims 6, 15, 24 and 33, Posthuma further teaches that the silence-monitoring is performed by interpreting a VAD ON/OFF event (col. 4 lines 25-33).

Regarding claims 7, 16, 25 and 34, Dorenbosch further teaches that the silence-monitoring is performed by interpreting a received SID packet ([0024]).

Regarding claims 8, 17, 26 and 35, Dorenbosch further teaches that the VoIP connection is over a network voice path, and the silence-monitoring is performed by:

Application/Control Number: 10/038,440 Page 5

Art Unit: 2665

monitoring the voice path for return packets, and analyzing to determine whether the return packets encode silence ([0024]).

Regarding claims 9, 18, 27 and 36, Posthuma further teaches that analyzing is for speech energy that corresponds to silence sustained for a predetermined time minimum (300 and/or 302 in fig. 3; fig. 4; col.4 lines 10-33).

Application/Control Number: 10/038,440 Page 6

Art Unit: 2665

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lina Yang whose telephone number is (571)272-3151. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday between 8:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. eastern standard time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 517-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600